

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/058,337	01/30/2002	Kanna Aoki 1794-0148P		6134		
2292 7	590 05/21/2003					
	VART KOLASCH &	EXAMINER				
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			TANG, MINH NHUT		
		[ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/058,337 AOKI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Minh N. Tang		2829	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	's
THE I - External form - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In по event, ho y within the statutory n vill apply and will expir , cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from t to become ABADONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun	nication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s)-filed on 30 J	lanuary 2002 .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is non-	final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowardosed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for Ex parte Quayle	formal matters, pro e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the me 53 O.G. 213.	erits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-23}$ is/are pending in the application	•			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from conside	eration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8)🖾	Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirer	nent.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.	•		
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)☐ object	cted to by the Exan	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	• •	
11) 🔲 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approv	∕ed b)⊡ disapprov	ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	ction.		
12) 🔲 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rec	eived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rec	eived in Applicatio	n No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		е
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e)) (to a provisional appl	ication).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language provicknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachment	(s)				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ion Summary		Part of Paper No. 6	

Application/Control Number: 10/058,337

Art Unit: 2829

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4, drawn to a three-dimensional photonic crystal, classified in class 257, subclass 428+.
 - II. Claims 5-21, drawn to a process for the production of a three-dimensional photonic crystal, classified in class 438, subclass 14+.
- III. Claims 22-23, drawn to a probe, classified in class 324, subclass 754.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process other than the process in the instant application.
- 3. Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, groups I and II are product and process for making a three-dimensional photonic crystal meanwhile the probe in group III could be used for different purposes.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,337

Art Unit: 2829

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. If group III is elected, a further election of species is required as follows:
- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

a/ Species of Figs. 15(a), 15(b), 15(c);

b/ Species of Figs. 16(a), 16(b).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,337

Art Unit: 2829

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. A telephone call was made to Mr. Muncy on May 13, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh N. Tang whose telephone number is (703) 305-1652. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) first Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Cuneo, Kamand can be reached on (703) 308-1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.

Minh Tang May 13, 2003